

Practitioner's Docket No. M04B107

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Barrie Dudley	Brewster					
Application No.: 10/588,470	Group No.:					
Filed: <b>May 17, 2007</b>	Examiner:					
For: <b>Vibration damper</b>						
Commission of the Date of	***					
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450						
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450						
STA	TUS INQUIRY					
WARNING: Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 3 111-112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG					
1. More than13_ months have page	assed since					
NEW APPLICATIONS						
the filing of this application of	on <u>May 17, 2007</u>					
•	received from the Patent and Trademark Office					
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS						
the filing of a response on _						
No further communication had	as been received from the Patent and Trademark					
☐ APPEALED APPLICATION						
The Appeal Brief was file	ed on					
(When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)					
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, thi	is correspondence is being:					
·	MAILING					
Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	In an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.					
37 C.F.A. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *					
with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No					
TRA	Mailing Label No (mandatory)					
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Tradem						
	Betly Lee					
Date: June 18, 2008	Signature					
Date: Juve 13 ( 200 0	Betty Lee					
	(type or print name of person certifying)					
* Only the date of filing (\$ 1.8) will be the date use	, , , , ,					

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.8) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.8(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable Items below) ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on \_ ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on ☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on 2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking

the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

## "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg.

31,147

Ira Lee Zebrak

Tel. No.: ( 973 ) 285-3307

(type or grint name of practitioner) Legal Services-Intellectual Property Dept.

Edwards Vacuum, Inc.

O Address 55 Madison Avenue, Suite 400 Morristown, NJ 07960

Customer No.: 71134

(Status inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)



## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	CATIC	N SERIAL NO.	/	IS CURRENTLY		
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP		AND AWAITS:		
		ACTION BY THE	EXAMINER.			
		APPLICANT'S RE	SPONSE TO	THE OFFICE ACTION MA	AILED	
APPEAL	_ NO				•	
	IS A		THE BOAR	D OF PATENT APPEALS A	ND INTERFER-	
		DATE OF HEARING	EXPECTED .			
		DECISION EXPECTE	.D	W-1		